

Political Theory in Star Trek

Political Science 335

Webster 112

Professor Kathy Ferguson

Phone: 956-6933

Office: Saunders 640E

Hours: M & W 10:30-11:30

Email: kferguso@hawaii.edu

Spring, 2015

MWF 9:30-10:20

Elsi Tellei, T.A.

Office: Saunders 626

Hours: T 3-4 pm

email: elsei@hawaii.edu

Overview of the Course

This course examines the grand narratives of western political thought through the vehicle of *Star Trek*. We will look at the “important dead white guys” who have come to be included as central thinkers in this intellectual tradition: Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Machiavelli, Hobbes, Locke, Mill, Hegel, Marx and Nietzsche. We will call on two 20th century political thinkers, Hannah Arendt and Michel Foucault, to help us frame our inquiries into this intellectual tradition.

This is a huge range of people and ideas, so we will only get a glimpse of each; further, each of these thinkers is complex and can be interpreted in many different ways. Our goal is to put together a coherent frame for an initial encounter with the history of western political thought. We will briefly situate each philosopher in his place and time, look at the public issues that he or she confronted, and articulate (one version of) the political analysis for which s/he has become famous. We will try to grasp the center of their vision, the concerns that most energized their thinking, the assumptions from which they worked, the values they advanced. We will ask about the implications of their thought for our world today.

We are also concerned with *how* these political arguments get made, with the rhetorical strategies that shape and limit arguments. Political theorists use language not only to describe, but also to *make* their world. Their metaphors and images call up certain kinds of meanings and displace others. We will be looking not just for what is said, but for what is not said, for silence as well as for speech.

We will also use these thinkers to examine the whole idea of “the tradition” or “the canon” of western political thought. How come these guys get to be the important ones? Where are the women? The non-Europeans? The people from marginal classes and colors? How does this political narrative come to be, and how is it contested?

Star Trek will serve as a textual site for examining, evaluating and critiquing the ideas of these political theorists. We will look at some episodes that exemplify the ideas of a political thinker, and at other episodes that can be critiqued from the point of view of a particular political philosopher. *Star Trek* will serve as a narrative site where political stories are told, stories that often reflect and utilize the ideas of political philosophers. Political theory, ultimately, is everywhere; it is the way we put things together. It is embedded in the stories we tell, and in the stories that tell us.

Important points to note:

Classroom etiquette:

- All cell phones must be OFF during class sessions. Do not check email, Facebook, etc. during class. Do not text during class. It is rude. The only function of your computer during our class is to allow you to take notes.

- Please come to class on time and stay for the entire class, unless you have an emergency.

Ethics Focus. This course has an Ethics focus designation. A significant component of the class requires students to engage various ethical arguments, analyzing their presuppositions and implications. Students are expected to reflect on the degree to which the positions are compelling or lack persuasiveness, and to ask for whom the arguments might be persuasive, and why.

Mandatory sessions on reading and writing political theory. We will spend two class sessions on the practices of reading and writing needed for this class and for political science classes (probably most Humanities and Social Science classes) more generally. The goals of this exercise are:

- To learn to be an active, engaged reader – we will articulate and practice reading skills that focus on identifying main points, underlining effectively, writing usefully in the margin, and using what you read.
- To learn to be a clear and effective writer –we will articulate and practice key skills such as taking notes, organizing your ideas, making an outline, producing a rough draft, revising, and editing.
- To clarify beyond any reasonable doubt what plagiarism is and how to avoid it.

While two sessions is only a beginning, it is crucial that everyone attend these sessions. Please plan ahead to make sure that you arrive on time and stay for the whole class. Only serious illness (with doctor's account) or a major act of God count as excused absences. Any student not attending these two classes must see me immediately to make up the material through a written assignment. If you miss these classes and do not complete the make-up assignment, you will lose 5 points from your final score.

Plagiarism. Plagiarism is taking another person's words or ideas without crediting them. ***Anything*** cut and pasted from a website without quotation marks and proper citation is plagiarism. Copying anything from a written source or another person without putting it in quotation marks and citing your source is plagiarism. Paraphrasing so closely that anyone can see the two texts are nearly the same is plagiarism. Plagiarism is cheating. There is no excuse for cheating. You will fail the course at the first instance of plagiarism or cheating of any kind on any assignment. No discussion, no negotiating.

This is a class about a powerful set of intellectual conversations over the last 2500 years. You are invited to join that conversation, to sort through the ideas, pick up those that appeal to you, and make them work for you. Recognizing the other voices in that conversation is basic intellectual honesty.

Plagiarism usually occurs when students feel overwhelmed--by school, finances, illness, relationship problems, an assignment they don't understand, etc. If anything like this happens to you, *let me know*. We will work something out that will be more beneficial to you than cheating.

Services to students with disabilities. If you are a student with any kind of disability (physical, mental, learning, etc.) and you have any concerns about access to the course or about completing the work, I encourage you to contact the KOKUA program in the Student Services Center. KOKUA's phone number is 956-7511.

Grading policy. A = excellent work. B = good but not yet excellent work. C = adequate work. D = pretty bad but I can see you are trying. F = completely inadequate.

Labs on campus: Computer labs are available on campus in Sinclair and Hamilton Libraries and Keller Hall. Go to <http://www.hawaii.edu/itslab/index.htm> for locations and hours.

Social Science labs in Saunders Hall: check posted hours on lab doors in SS 342 (Mac) and 635 (PC).

Required reading:

- Jene M. Porter, *Classics in Political Philosophy*, 3rd edition (2000).
- other essays as posted on Laulima.

Assignments:

- 1. Everyone must attend and participate in class. (15 points)** This includes many elements:
 - a. Do the reading before coming to class, and to be prepared to re-read the material.** The language is sometimes abstract and unfamiliar, and it requires careful and repeated readings.
 - b. Bring the assigned reading to class.** Be prepared to show evidence of “*active reading*”- underline passages that seem important; make notes to yourself in the margins as you read; pose questions about difficult passages.
 - c. Make comments and ask questions during class.
 - d. Participate in small group discussions.
 - e. Speak with us during office hours about the class.
 - f. Raise questions and send comments to us on email.

Each student is allowed 4 absences:

- You should “save” them in case you are ill or are struck by lightning, etc.
- We will take attendance at the beginning of class. To get full credit you must arrive at the beginning and stay until the end.
- Each day that you are late counts as 1/3 of an absence. Three late arrivals = 1 absence.
- After 4 absences, you lose 5 points (out of your total of 100) for every class you do not attend.

3. Everyone is required to watch one *Star Trek* episode each week for the first 5 weeks (for serious intellectual reasons). To my great sadness, there is no series currently in production, but there are reruns. There have been 5 *Star Trek* series: The original *Star Trek* (Captain Kirk); *Star Trek: The Next Generation* (Captain Picard); *Star Trek: Voyager* (Captain Janeway); *Star Trek: Deep Space Nine* (Captain Cisco); and *Enterprise* (Captain Archer.) The movies are not as useful for our class as the TV series. Wong AV in Sinclair Library has some of the TV episodes, as does Netflix (all of the series are now available through streaming) and most commercial video rental stores. It will be much easier to write your own *Star Trek* story after you see a few episodes.

4. Everyone is required to write at least 10 in-class responses. (10 points) Time will be provided in class on some days for you to give your responses to the day’s reading assignment or other questions we pose. You will have your own “green book” in which to write your responses. Please bring your green book to class every day. Date each response. You will have more than 10 opportunities to write these in-class responses, so don’t try to make up one that you missed.

Extra credit opportunity: You can earn extra credit for writing more than the required 10 in-class responses. Each extra response is worth 1 point.

5. Everyone is required to write a two-part paper.

- Your papers must be typed, double-spaced, 12 point font, with proper grammar, spelling, sentence structure, and margins.
- Be sure your papers are Word documents. Title your document with your name and the assignment. Be sure to back up everything. Email your notes and papers to yourself so you have a record that is independent of your computer. Computer problems at the end of the semester will be less disastrous if you back up your work effectively.
- Number your pages.
- Revise, edit, and proofread. Take pride in your work.
- Name each of your papers with your name and the proper number. So, for example, your first sketch will be Anna Smith-1. I will write comments and send it back as Anna Smith-1-K. Elsei will label her's Anna Smith – 1 – E. Your second sketch will be Anna Smith-2, and will come back as Anna Smith-2-K or Anna Smith-2- E. Your rough draft will be Anna Smith-draft; your final paper will be Anna Smith-final.
- Turn in your papers in your drop box on our Laulima site. No hard copy is required.

Part I: The first part is what they call in show biz a “treatment,” that is, the basic shape and structure for a *Star Trek* episode. (20 points) You must write your own original story; do not copy an episode you have seen. Reproducing an existing *Star Trek* episode and calling it your own is a form of plagiarism. It is ok to build on an existing episode, but you must add to the story, not just repeat what the *Star Trek* writers have already said.

You are not required to write the actual dialogue (although you are welcome to do so if you wish) but rather to tell a *Star Trek* story, with a coherent plot, a locus of action or conflict, a cast of characters, and a setting. Your story needs to feature an important political concept, such as power, authority, justice, equality, truth, democracy, freedom, etc. You need a story that allows for characters to engage in struggle, so that you can have different characters approach the situation in different ways. Your episode can be anchored in any of the five series or combine characters from different series. Your story should be about 8-10 pages long. (It will be longer if you write dialogue.) Quality is more important than quantity.

REPEAT: DO NOT SUMMARIZE AN EXISTING EPISODE AND CLAIM IT AS YOUR OWN. I’ve seen them all. Many times. Presenting an existing episode as though it were your own is a form of plagiarism. However, you may build on an existing episode, using the characters and setting to continue the story. It must be *your* story.

Part II: The second part of the paper will discuss your episode in light of the ideas of at least three of the political philosophers we are reading. (30 points) (You are welcome to include more if you wish; you must go into depth on 3 of the theorists we cover in class.) In this part of the paper you will show how the ideas of these thinkers are at work in your episode, how their concepts are active in your own story. Your analysis should be about 8-10 pages long. Your paper should make use of quotations from the text to support your interpretation, with proper footnoting, and discuss those quotations in your own words.

You can make use of your philosophers in creative ways. For example, you might have a character who takes a Marxist perspective on poverty, and you can use Marx to explain that character’s point of view. Or, you could have a character who takes an anti-Marxist perspective on poverty, and you can use Marx to show what is wrong with that character’s viewpoint from a Marxist perspective. You could use Foucault’s ideas about space to craft your setting, then draw from his essay to analyze the setting you created. You could take Plato’s and Aristotle’s ideas about justice as balance and apply them to an environmental disaster. And so forth.

All the writing in this class relies on your reading of the original sources. **Do not** cite from any other source except for our class readings. Do not use Wikipedia, or any other websites, or any other sources at all, in your papers. I cannot stop you, obviously, from consulting these sources, but they should not appear in your papers. Your papers should only cite our class readings or videos.

You may either write two separate papers, or you may integrate your political analysis into your story. If you write 2 separate papers (story and analysis), your analysis should be an integrated discussion of 3 (or more) philosophers with an introduction and a conclusion. If you combine the story and the analysis in one paper, be sure that you include direct discussion of relevant passages from the reading, where you explain the arguments in your own words. **The important point to remember is that this is a class about political theory; *Star Trek* is only our vehicle for studying political theory.**

Important dates for your papers:

- **March 16 by midnight: First sketch due (5 points).** Please write a **one page sketch** of your ideas to this point for your episode and analysis. You should identify the setting, the main characters, and the central theme of your story. You should also identify 3 political theorists who we have covered up to this point, and say briefly how their ideas are relevant to your story. About one half page should be devoted to your story, and about one half page to your analysis. This assignment is to get you started – you can change your mind as you encounter other writers.
- **April 15 by midnight: Second sketch due (5 points).** Please write a **five page expansion and revision** of your first sketch in light of the material we have covered since you wrote your first sketch. Again, identify your setting, main characters, and central theme. In addition, outline the plot of your story, including the main action, the climax, and the resolution. Identify the three political theorists you are planning to use, selecting from those we have covered up to this point, and show how their ideas are relevant to your story. Again, about half of your paper should be devoted to your story and half to your analysis. Avoid vagueness – say something specific and meaningful about how you will use the philosophers' ideas. Select relevant quotations and discuss them in your own words. Be sure to remove the comments I wrote on your first sketch when you turn in your second sketch.
- **April 29 (by midnight): Your rough draft of both papers (the treatment and the analysis) is due (10 points).** The main sections of the paper – the basic story and the main ideas of at least 3 philosophers – should be included in the rough draft. We will make suggestions and return the papers as soon as possible. We will suggest revisions you need to make to improve your work and your grade. Be sure to remove my earlier comments before turning in your paper
- **Due May 15, by midnight: Final papers are due.**
 - Story is worth 20 points
 - Analysis is worth 30 points
 - **50 points total**

8. Drawing of master/slave relation (5 points): Create a visual representation of Hegel's master/slave relationship. You can draw stick figures, or create something more elaborate. You can make a collage, a comic book, a diorama, an oil painting, whatever; you can use any kind of paper, pens, pencils, markers; you can sculpt or paint or make a movie. The point is to show that you understand the dynamic process of this relationship.

Along with your visual representation, please provide a one half page explanation of your art. Since these are pieces of paper or material rather than electronic documents, you need to

physically hand them to me in class or else bring them to the Political Science office (Saunders 640) and put them in my mailbox before the office closes at 4:30. **Due April 22.**

9. Optional extra credit assignment (5 points): You can write a 1-2 page paper about a *Star Trek* episode (other than those shown in class) that illustrates the ideas of any of the political philosophers we are reading. Provide a brief summary of the episode (no more than half a page) and explain how the episode relates to at least one of the thinkers we read. This assignment can earn a maximum of 5 points if it makes accurate use of a philosopher’s ideas, draws relevant quotations from the text, and discusses them in your own words. Put the paper in the Laulima drop box and label it clearly as an extra credit assignment. **Due May 15 by midnight.**

Summary of assignments, due dates, and grading:

Attendance & Participation	First sketch	Second sketch	Rough draft	Final paper	In-class writing	Master/Slave drawing	Optional extra credit
15%	5%	5%	10%	50%	10%	5%	5%
Every class	Mar 16 mid-night	April 15 mid-night	April 29 mid-night	May 15 midnight	As assigned	April 22– due in class or by 4:30 pm in Saunders 640	April 15 mid-night

NOTES ON PAPERS:

- **Late papers** for the first two sketches, the rough draft, and the master/slave drawing will be accepted for one week after the due date for **half credit**. It is better to turn in a late paper than to blow off the assignment entirely.
- **No late final papers** or extra credit assignments will be accepted without prior arrangement.
- ****Be sure to deposit your papers in your Laulima drop box as Word documents.****
- If you have trouble with writing, it is a very good idea to sign up for assistance at the English Department writing center located in Sinclair Library. The center’s available appointments may fill up quickly, so plan ahead. I am available during office hours to assist you; please do not put off seeking help.

Instructions for using Laulima: Go to <https://laulima.hawaii.edu/portal> and log in using your UH email ID and password. The Log-in boxes are in the upper right hand corner.

- Follow the trail to our class. Be sure to select the appropriate section of the class.
- The syllabus, readings and other course materials are in “resources.”
- Turn in your written work, clearly labeled, in the “drop box.”

Mon, 1/12: Introduction – thinking about political theory

- Going over the syllabus – class requirements and expectations
- What is the western canon of political theory? Who is in and who is out? What stories does it tell?
- Why *Star Trek*? How does science fiction enable us to ask questions about these topics: human/machine boundaries; gender/identity boundaries; science fiction as a boundary-crossing genre; finding the familiar within the strange, and vice-versa.

Wed 1/14: Hannah Arendt: Narrative and the politics of storytelling

- Reading: Lisa Disch, ch 1 of *Hannah Arendt and the Limits of Philosophy* (on Laulima)

Fri 1/16: Arendt (con)

- Reading: Hannah Arendt, *The Human Condition* (on Laulima)
- Reading: Hannah Arendt, *Eichman in Jerusalem* (on Laulima)

Mon 1/19: no class (Martin Luther King Day)

Wed 1/21 and Fri 1/23:

- Film (in class): “Hannah Arendt” written and directed by Margarethe von Trotta (113 min)

Mon 1/26 – finish Arendt

III. Machiavelli: Longing for the past, anticipating the future

Wed 1/28: *The Prince*

- Reading: pp. 225-246

Fri 1/30 and Mon 2/2: *The Prince*

- Reading: pp. 247-263

Wed 2/4: *Star Trek: The Next Generation*: “The High Ground”

Fri 2/6: finish Machiavelli

IV. Michel Foucault: Imagining places

Mon 2/9: heterotopia

- Reading: Foucault, “In Other Spaces,” (on Laulima)

Videos in class:

- Victoria Jones, “Foucault’s Heterotopia and the Festival Tent – Greenman Festival 2010”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0EmKK9KdB-w&list=PLoBqcrfpmPly2mATeG2ABMUE6g1wdkpz5&index=5> (5’17”)

- Mike Grasso, “Heterotopia: Teaser”

<http://snowboarding.transworld.net/videos/heterotopia-teaser/>

Wed 2/11: Foucault (con)

Reading: Foucault, “In Other Spaces” (again)

- Lionel Claris, “Foucault – the Lost Interview”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qzoOhhh4aJg>

Fri 2/13: finish Foucault

Mon 2/16: no class (Presidents Day)

V. Mandatory meetings on reading and writing political theory

Wed 2/18: How to read a political text

Fri 2/20: *Star trek* on narrative and heterotopia

- Star Trek Voyager: “Worst Case Scenario”

Mon 2/23: discuss “Worst Case Scenario”

- How to write a Star Trek story

Wed 2/25: How to write a political text

- Reading: Kate Turabian, *A Manual for Writers of Research Papers, Theses and Dissertations*, selections (on Laulima)

VI. Classical beginnings: Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle

Fri 2/27: Socrates

- Reading: *The Apology* (on Laulima)

Mon 3/2: Plato, *The Republic*, Books 1-5

- Reading: pp. 2-62

Wed 3/4 and Fri 3/6: Plato, *The Republic*, Books 6-10

- Reading: pp. 63-100

Mon 3/9: Aristotle, *Nicomachean Ethics*

- Reading: 102-119
Aristotle, *The Politics*, Books 1-3
- Reading: pp. 120-143

Wed 3/11: Aristotle, *The Politics*, Books 4, 5, & 7

- Reading: pp. 144-173

Fri 3/13: Socrates, Plato and Aristotle

- *Star Trek Voyager*: “Deathwish”

Mon 3/16: finish the Greeks

VII. Modernity, Secularism and the Rise of Liberalism

Wed 3/18: Hobbes, *The Leviathan*, first part

- Reading, pp. 265-299

Fri 3/20: Hobbes, *The Leviathan*, second part

- Reading: pp. 299-328

Mon 3/23 – Fri 3/27: spring break

Mon 3/30: Locke, *Second Treatise of Government*

- Reading: 299-328

Wed 4/1: Locke, *Second Treatise of Government*

- Reading: pp. 329-352

Fri 4/3: no class. Good Friday.

Mon 4/6: Locke (con)

Wed 4/8: Mill, *On Liberty*

- Reading: 503-529

Fri 4/10: Mill

- Reading: *On the Subjection of Women* (on Laulima)

Mon 4/13: Humanity, individuality and property

- *Star Trek: The Next Generation*: "The Measure of a Man"

Wed 4/15: finish liberals

VIII. The Dialectic of History and Class Struggle

Fri 4/17 and Mon 4/20: Hegel, *The Phenomenology of Spirit*

- Reading: Master/slave guide (on Laulima)

Wed 4/22: Marx

- Reading: *The Manifesto of the Communist Party*, pp. 568-586

Fri 4/24: Marx

- Reading: *Engel's Speech at the Graveside of Karl Marx*, p. 546.
- Reading: *Critique of Political Economy*, p. 587
- Reading: *The Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts*, pp. 547-557

Mon 4/27: Marx

- Reading: *The German Ideology*, pp. 558-567
- Reading: *Capital*, pp. 589-596

Wed 4/29: finish up Marx

IX. The Death of God and the Challenge to Foundations

Fri 5/1: Nietzsche,

- Reading: *Truth and Lie in an Extra-Moral Sense*, pp. 599-610
- Reading: *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, pp. 620-631.

Mon 5/4: Nietzsche

- *Star Trek: The Next Generation*: "Darmok"

Wed 5/6: Nietzsche

- Reading: *The Genealogy of Morals*, pp. 632-647

Live Long and Prosper